//

#### I, MELODY A. KRAMER, declare:

- 1. I am not a party to the present action. I am over the age of eighteen. I have personal knowledge of the facts contained within the following paragraphs, and could and would competently testify thereto if called as a witness in a court of law.
- 2. At all times relevant herein I have been an attorney for Sorensen Research and Development Trust ("Sorensen"), Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter. This declaration is made in support of Plaintiff's Motion to Modify Patent Local Rules Schedule to Accelerate Identification of Claimed Invalidating Prior Art.
- 3. It is anticipated that Defendant will make a pro forma affirmative defense and/or counterclaim for declaratory relief of patent invalidity without detail as have every other answering defendant in these related cases.
- 4. In July 2007 and December 2007, respectively, defendants in the related Black & Decker case<sup>1</sup> filed requests for *ex parte* reexamination of the '184 patent with the USPTO. Attached hereto as Exhibit A and B are true and correct copies of relevant pages of said Requests for Ex Parte Reexamination.
- 5. The prior art cited in the second *ex parte* request contained several prior art references that had not been disclosed to Sorensen in either of the parties' Preliminary Invalidity Contentions served on February 2, 2007 (Exhibit C), nor the Amended Preliminary Invalidity Contentions served on March 5, 2007 (Exhibit D), nor the first *ex parte* request (Exhibit A). Attached hereto as Exhibits C and D are true and correct copies of Black & Decker's Preliminary Invalidity Contentions and Amended Preliminary Invalidity Contentions.
- 6. In the course of the present reexamination proceedings, Sorensen has, to our knowledge, identified to the USPTO all claimed invalidating prior art identified to Sorensen to date from any source.
  - 7. Although Sorensen has been in contact with Defendants in this case pre-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sorensen v. Black & Decker Corporation, et al, Case No. 06cv1572

litigation regarding the '184 patent, none of the correspondence from Defendants constitutes any type of binding assertion of all claimed prior art or other daimed basis for invalidity of the '184 patent. Thus, Sorensen has been unable to ensure that these Defendants' asserted invalidated prior art will be reviewed by the USPTO.

8. Attached hereto as Exhibit E is a true and correct copy of a document

8. Attached hereto as Exhibit E is a true and correct copy of a document from the USPTO explaining a rule change regarding successive reexaminations on the same patent.

DATED this Friday, April 04, 2008.

/s/ Melody A. Kramer

Melody A. Kramer, Esq.

# **EXHIBIT A**

PTO/SB/57 (07-07) Approved for use through 07/31/2007. OMB 0651-0033 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respon	id to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.
(Also referred to as FORM PTO-1465)	
REQUEST FOR EX PARTE REEXAL	MINATION TRANSMITTAL FORM
Address to:	
Mail Stop Ex Parte Reexam	
Commissioner for Patents	Attorney Docket No.: X32441
P. O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	Date: July 30, 2007
<ol> <li>Image: This is a request for ex parte reexamination pursuant to issued June 19, 1990 The request is made by:</li> <li>□ patent owner.</li> </ol> Image: parter parter parter pursuant to issued June 19, 1990 Image: parter p	to 37 CFR 1.510 of patent number 4,935,184 ty requester.
<ol> <li>The name and address of the person requesting reexast Arnold Turk, Esq.</li> <li>Greenblum &amp; Bernstein, P.L.C.</li> <li>1950 Roland Clarke Place, Reston, VA 20191</li> </ol>	mination is:
<ul> <li>3. ⋈ a. A check in the amount of \$ 2,520.00 is enclosed to b. The Director is hereby authorized to charge the fee Deposit Account No. 19-0089 (submit duploc. Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.</li> </ul>	e as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(c)(1) to icative copy for fee processing); or
<ol> <li>Any refund should be made by □ check or ☒ credit 37 CFR 1.26(c). If payment is made by credit card, re</li> </ol>	
5. ⊠ A copy of the patent to be reexamined having a double 37 CFR 1.510(b)(4)	e column format on one side of a separate paper is enclosed.
6. □ CD-ROM or CD-R in duplicate, Computer Program ( □ Landscape Table on CD	Appendix) or large table
<ul> <li>7. □ Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission If applicable, items a c. are required.</li> <li>a. □ Computer Readable Form (CRF)</li> <li>b. Specification Sequence Listing on: <ol> <li>i. □ CD-ROM (2 copies) or CD-R (2 copies); or</li> <li>ii. □ paper</li> <li>c. □ Statements verifying identity of above copies</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	
8. $\Box$ A copy of any disclaimer, certificate of correction or	reexamination certificate issued in the patent is included.
9. ⊠ Reexamination of claim(s) <u>1, 2, 4, 6-10</u>	is requested.
10. ⊠ A copy of every patent or printed publication relied un Form PTO/SB/08, PTO-1449, or equivalent.	pon is submitted herewith including a listing thereof on
11.   An English language translation of all necessary and publications is included.	pertinent non-English language patents and/or printed

[Page 1 of 2]

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.510. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. § 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS: SEND TO: Mail Stop Ex Parte Reexam, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

PTO/SB/57 (07-07)
Approved for use through 07/31/2007. OMB 0651-0033
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

printed publications. 37 CFR 1.510(b)(1) b. An identification of every claim for which reexamination is requested, and a detailed explanation of the pertinency and manner of applying the cited art to every claim for which reexamination is requested. 37 CFR 1.510(b)(2)  13.  A proposed amendment is included (only where the patent owner is the requester). 37 CFR 1.510(e)  14.  A proposed amendment is included (only where the patent owner is the requester). 37 CFR 1.510(e)  14.  A it is certified that a copy of this request (if filed by other than the patent owner) has been served in its entirety on the patent owner as provided in 37 CFR 1.33(c).  The name and address of the party served and the date of service are:  James Michael Kaler  Edward W. Callan  The Law Offices of James M. Kaler  3830 Valley Center Drive, No.705, PMB452  9930 Mesa Rim Road Suite 200, San Diego, CA 92121  San Diego, CA 92130  Date of Service:  July 30, 2007  ; or  b. A duplicate copy is enclosed since service on patent owner was not possible.  15. Correspondence Address: Direct all communication about the reexamination to:  The address associated with Customer Number:  OR  Firm or  Individual Name Greenblum & Bernstein, P.L.C.  Address  1950 Roland Clarke Place	<ol> <li>The attached detailed request includes at least the formal.</li> <li>A statement identifying each substantial new que</li> </ol>		or patents and
pertinency and manner of applying the cited art to every claim for which reexamination is requested. 37 CFR 1.510(b)(2)  13. □ A proposed amendment is included (only where the patent owner is the requester). 37 CFR 1.510(e)  14. □ a. It is certified that a copy of this request (if filed by other than the patent owner) has been served in its entirety on the patent owner as provided in 37 CFR 1.33(c).  The name and address of the party served and the date of service are:  James Michael Kaler  James Michael Kaler  James Michael Kaler  James Michael Kaler  Jasa Diego, CA 92121  San Diego, CA 92130  Date of Service:  July 30, 2007  Jote of Service:  July 30, 2007  Jote of Service:  July 30, 2007  The address associated with Customer Number:  OR  Firm or  Individual Name Greenblum & Bernstein, P.L.C.  Address  1950 Roland Clarke Place  City Reston  State VA  Zip 20191  Country  United States  Telephone  (703) 716-1191  Email aturk@gbpatent.com  Ic. □ The patent is currently the subject of the following concurrent proceeding(s):  □ a. Copending reissue Application No.  □ b. Copending Interference No.  □ d. Copending Interference No.  July 30, 2007  July 30, 2007  Date  WARNING:  Jens E. Sorensen v. Teenica USA Corp. and Nordica USA Corp Civil Action No. 06-cv-1572  (2) Jens E. Sorensen v. Teenica USA Corp. and Nordica USA Corp Civil Action No. 06-cv-1941  (3) Previous litigation listed in Request  WARNING:  July 30, 2007  Date  Amold Turk  Typed/Printed Name  Por Patent Owner Requester  Registration No.  □ For Patent Owner Requester  Registration No.  □ For Third Party Requester	printed publications. 37 CFR 1.510(b)(1)		•
CFR 1.510(b)(2)  13. □ A proposed amendment is included (only where the patent owner is the requester). 37 CFR 1.510(e)  14. ☑ a. It is certified that a copy of this request (if filed by other than the patent owner) has been served in its entirety on the patent owner as provided in 37 CFR 1.33(c).  The name and address of the party served and the date of service are:  James Michael Kaler			
13.		to every claim for which reexamin	ation is requested. 37
14. □ a. It is certified that a copy of this request (if filed by other than the patent owner) has been served in its entirety on the patent owner as provided in 37 CFR 1.33(c).  The name and address of the party served and the date of service are:  James Michael Kaler  The Law Offices of James M. Kaler  Sa30 Valley Center Drive, No.705, PMB452  9930 Mesa Rim Road Suite 200, San Diego, CA 92121  Date of Service: July 30, 2007  □ b. A duplicate copy is enclosed since service on patent owner was not possible.  15. Correspondence Address: Direct all communication about the reexamination to:  □ The address associated with Customer Number:  □ Offices of Name Greenblum & Bernstein, P.L.C.  Address  1950 Roland Clarke Place  City Reston  State VA Zip 20191  Country  United States  Telephone (703) 716-1191  Email aturk@gbpatent.com  16. □ The patent is currently the subject of the following concurrent proceeding(s):  □ a. Copending reissue Application No.  □ b. Copending Interference No.  □ d. Copending Integrience No.  □ d. Copending Integrienc	CFR 1.510(b)(2)		
entirety on the patent owner as provided in 37 CFR 1.33(c).  The name and address of the party served and the date of service are:  James Michael Kaler  The Law Offices of James M. Kaler  3830 Valley Center Drive, No.705, PMB452 9930 Mesa Rim Road Suite 200, San Diego, CA 92121  San Diego, CA 92130  Date of Service: July 30, 2007  ; or  b. A duplicate copy is enclosed since service on patent owner was not possible.  15. Correspondence Address: Direct all communication about the reexamination to:  The address associated with Customer Number:  O7055  Firm or  Individual Name Greenblum & Bernstein, P.L.C.  Address  1950 Roland Clarke Place  City Reston  State VA Zip 20191  Country  United States  Telephone (703) 716-1191  Email aturk@gbpatent.com  16. The patent is currently the subject of the following concurrent proceeding(s):  a. Copending reissue Application No.  b. Copending rerexamination Control No.  c. Copending Interference No.  d. Copending littgation styled:  (1) Jens E. Sorensen v. Black Decker (U.S.) Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1572 (2) Jens E. Sorensen v. Tecnica USA Corp. and Nordica USA Corp., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1941 (3) Previous litigation listed in Request  WARNING: Information of this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this ory.  Patental Turk  Arnold Turk  Typed/Printed Name  Pro Patent Owner Requester  Registration No.  For Patent Owner Requester  Registration No.	13. $\Box$ A proposed amendment is included (only where the	patent owner is the requester). 37	CFR 1.510(e)
The name and address of the party served and the date of service are:    James Michael Kaler	14.   a. It is certified that a copy of this request (if filed by	by other than the patent owner) has	been served in its
James Michael Kaler			
The Law Offices of James M. Kaler 9930 Mesa Rim Road Suite 200. San Diego, CA 92121  Date of Service: July 30, 2007    b. A duplicate copy is enclosed since service on patent owner was not possible.    15. Correspondence Address: Direct all communication about the reexamination to:   The address associated with Customer Number:   07055     The address associated with Customer Number:   07055     Firm or Individual Name Greenblum & Bernstein, P.L.C.   Address   1950 Roland Clarke Place			
Date of Service: _July 30, 2007; or  □ b. A duplicate copy is enclosed since service on patent owner was not possible.  15. Correspondence Address: Direct all communication about the reexamination to:  □ The address associated with Customer Number:  □ The address			rive No 705 PMR452
Date of Service: July 30, 2007 ; or  □ b. A duplicate copy is enclosed since service on patent owner was not possible.  15. Correspondence Address: Direct all communication about the reexamination to:  □ The address associated with Customer Number:  □ OR  □ Individual Name Greenblum & Bernstein, P.L.C.  Address  1950 Roland Clarke Place  City Reston State VA Zip 20191  Country  United States  Telephone (703) 716-1191 Email aturk@gbpatent.com  16. □ The patent is currently the subject of the following concurrent proceeding(s):  □ a. Copending reissue Application No.  □ b. Copending Interference No.  □ d. Pervious litigation istyled:  (1) Jens E. Sorensen v. Teonica USA Corp. and Nordica USA Corp., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1941  (3) Previous litigation isted in Request  WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.    Authorized Signature   July 30, 2007   Date   Arnold Turk   33094   For Patent Owner Requester   Typed/Printed Name   For Third Party Requester   Provide Patent Owner   Provide Pate			
□ b. A duplicate copy is enclosed since service on patent owner was not possible.  15. Correspondence Address: Direct all communication about the reexamination to:  □ The address associated with Customer Number: □ O7055 □ OR  □ Firm or Individual Name Greenblum & Bernstein, P.L.C.  Address □ 1950 Roland Clarke Place  City Reston State VA Zip 20191  Country  United States  Telephone (703) 716-1191 Email aturk@gbpatent.com  16. □ The patent is currently the subject of the following concurrent proceeding(s): □ a. Copending reissue Application No. □ b. Copending Interference No. □ d. Copending Interfer			
15. Correspondence Address: Direct all communication about the reexamination to:  ☐ The address associated with Customer Number: ☐ OR  ☐ Firm or ☐ Individual Name Greenblum & Bernstein, P.L.C.  Address  ☐ 1950 Roland Clarke Place  ☐ Country ☐ United States ☐ Crountry ☐ United States ☐ Email aturk@gbpatent.com ☐ Country ☐ Email aturk@gbpatent.com ☐ Copending reissue Application No. ☐ De Copending Interference No. ☐ Copending Interference No. ☐ Jens E. Sorensen v. Black Decker (U.S.) Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1572 ☐ Jens E. Sorensen v. Black Decker (U.S.) Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1941 ☐ (3) Previous litigation listed in Request ☐ WARNING: Laformation of this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038. ☐ Authorized Signature ☐ Date ☐ Arnold Turk ☐ Typed/Printed Name ☐ For Patent Owner Requester ☐ Registration No. ☐ For Third Party Requester	Date of Service: July 30, 2007	; or	
The address associated with Customer Number:  OR  Firm or Individual Name Greenblum & Bernstein, P.L.C.  Address  1950 Roland Clarke Place  City Reston State VA Zip 20191  Country  United States  Telephone (703) 716-1191 Email aturk@gbpatent.com  16. The patent is currently the subject of the following concurrent proceeding(s):  a. Copending reissue Application No.  b. Copending reexamination Control No.  c. Copending Interference No.  d. Copending litigation styled:  (1) Jens E. Sorensen v. Black Decker (U.S.) Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1572  (2) Jens E. Sorensen v. Tecnica USA Corp. and Nordica USA Corp., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1941  (3) Previous litigation listed in Request  WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Poy/de credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.  July 30, 2007 Date  Arnold Turk  Typed/Printed Name  Typed/Printed Name  Typed/Printed Name  Typed/Printed Name  Typed/Printed Name	☐ b. A duplicate copy is enclosed since service on pa	atent owner was not possible.	
Firm or Individual Name Greenblum & Bernstein, P.L.C.  Address  1950 Roland Clarke Place  City Reston State VA Zip 20191  Country  United States  Telephone (703) 716-1191 Email aturk@gbpatent.com  16.   The patent is currently the subject of the following concurrent proceeding(s):  a. Copending reissue Application No.  b. Copending reexamination Control No.  c. Copending Interference No.  d. Copending litigation styled:  (1) Jens E. Sorensen v. Black Decker (U.S.) Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1572  (2) Jens E. Sorensen v. Tecnica USA Corp. and Nordica USA Corp., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1941  (3) Previous litigation listed in Request  WARNING: Jaformation of this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.  July 30, 2007  Authorized Signature Date  Arnold Turk 33094 For Patent Owner Requester Typed/Printed Name Registration No.  For Third Party Requester	15. Correspondence Address: Direct all communication ab	out the reexamination to:	
Firm or Individual Name Greenblum & Bernstein, P.L.C.  Address  1950 Roland Clarke Place  City Reston State VA Zip 20191  Country  United States  Telephone (703) 716-1191 Email aturk@gbpatent.com  16.   The patent is currently the subject of the following concurrent proceeding(s):  a. Copending reissue Application No.  b. Copending reexamination Control No.  c. Copending Interference No.  d. Copending litigation styled:  (1) Jens E. Sorensen v. Black Decker (U.S.) Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1572  (2) Jens E. Sorensen v. Tecnica USA Corp. and Nordica USA Corp., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1941  (3) Previous litigation listed in Request  WARNING: Jaformation of this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.  July 30, 2007  Authorized Signature Date  Arnold Turk 33094 For Patent Owner Requester Typed/Printed Name Registration No.  For Third Party Requester	☐ The address associated with Customer Number:	07055	
Individual Name Greenblum & Bernstein, P.L.C.  Address  1950 Roland Clarke Place  City Reston State VA Zip 20191  Country  United States  Telephone (703) 716-1191 Email aturk@gbpatent.com  16.   The patent is currently the subject of the following concurrent proceeding(s):  a. Copending reissue Application No.  b. Copending reissue Application No.  c. Copending Interference No.  d. Copending Interference No.  d. Copending litigation styled:  (1) Jens E. Sorensen v. Black Decker (U.S.) Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1572  (2) Jens E. Sorensen v. Tecnica USA Corp. and Nordica USA Corp., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1941  (3) Previous litigation listed in Request  WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.  July 30, 2007  Authorized Signature  Arnold Turk  Typed/Printed Name  Typed/Printed Name  State VA  Zip 20191  Zip 20191			
Individual Name Greenblum & Bernstein, P.L.C.  Address  1950 Roland Clarke Place  City Reston State VA Zip 20191  Country  United States  Telephone (703) 716-1191 Email aturk@gbpatent.com  16.   The patent is currently the subject of the following concurrent proceeding(s):  a. Copending reissue Application No.  b. Copending reissue Application No.  c. Copending Interference No.  d. Copending Interference No.  d. Copending litigation styled:  (1) Jens E. Sorensen v. Black Decker (U.S.) Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1572  (2) Jens E. Sorensen v. Tecnica USA Corp. and Nordica USA Corp., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1941  (3) Previous litigation listed in Request  WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.  July 30, 2007  Authorized Signature  Arnold Turk  Typed/Printed Name  Typed/Printed Name  State VA  Zip 20191  Zip 20191			
Address    1950 Roland Clarke Place   State VA	⊠ Firm or		
City Reston State VA Zip 20191  Country  United States  Telephone (703) 716-1191 Email aturk@gbpatent.com  16.  The patent is currently the subject of the following concurrent proceeding(s):  a. Copending reissue Application No.  b. Copending Interference No.  d. Copending Interference No.  d. Copending litigation styled:  (1) Jens E. Sorensen v. Black Decker (U.S.) Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1572  (2) Jens E. Sorensen v. Tecnica USA Corp. and Nordica USA Corp., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1941  (3) Previous litigation listed in Request  WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on his form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.  July 30, 2007  Authorized Signature Date  Arnold Turk 33094			
Country  United States  Telephone (703) 716-1191 Email aturk@gbpatent.com  16.   The patent is currently the subject of the following concurrent proceeding(s):  a. Copending reissue Application No.  b. Copending reexamination Control No.  c. Copending Interference No.  d. Copending litigation styled:  (1) Jens E. Sorensen v. Black Decker (U.S.) Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1572  (2) Jens E. Sorensen v. Tecnica USA Corp. and Nordica USA Corp., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1941  (3) Previous litigation listed in Request  WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on his form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.  July 30, 2007  Authorized Signature  Arnold Turk  Typed/Printed Name  State VA  Zip 20191  Email aturk@gbpatent.com  Email aturk@gbpatent.com			
United States  Telephone (703) 716-1191			
United States  Telephone (703) 716-1191	City Reston	State VA	Zip 20191
United States  Telephone (703) 716-1191	On the second se		
Telephone   (703) 716-1191   Email aturk@gbpatent.com	•		
16.   The patent is currently the subject of the following concurrent proceeding(s):     a. Copending reissue Application No.       b. Copending reexamination Control No.       c. Copending Interference No.       d. Copending litigation styled:   (1)   Jens E. Sorensen v. Black Decker (U.S.) Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1572   (2)   Jens E. Sorensen v. Tecnica USA Corp. and Nordica USA Corp., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1941   (3)   Previous litigation listed in Request  WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.    July 30, 2007     Date   Arnold Turk   33094           For Patent Owner Requester Typed/Printed Name       Registration No.		Email aturk@gbpatent.com	
□ a. Copending reissue Application No. □ b. Copending Interference No. □ c. Copending Interference No. □ d. Copending litigation styled: □ 1) Jens E. Sorensen v. Black Decker (U.S.) Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1572 □ 2) Jens E. Sorensen v. Tecnica USA Corp. and Nordica USA Corp., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1941 □ 3) Previous litigation listed in Request  WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.    July 30, 2007   Date			
□ b. Copending reexamination Control No. □ c. Copending Interference No. □ d. Copending litigation styled: (1) Jens E. Sorensen v. Black Decker (U.S.) Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1572 (2) Jens E. Sorensen v. Tecnica USA Corp. and Nordica USA Corp., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1941 (3) Previous litigation listed in Request  WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.    July 30, 2007   Date		concurrent proceeding(s):	
□ c. Copending Interference No.  □ d. Copending litigation styled:  (1) Jens E. Sorensen v. Black Decker (U.S.) Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1572  (2) Jens E. Sorensen v. Tecnica USA Corp. and Nordica USA Corp., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1941  (3) Previous litigation listed in Request  WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.    July 30, 2007   Date			
Solution   Styled   Styled			
(1) Jens E. Sorensen v. Black Decker (U.S.) Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1572 (2) Jens E. Sorensen v. Tecnica USA Corp. and Nordica USA Corp., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1941 (3) Previous litigation listed in Request  WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.  July 30, 2007  Date  Arnold Turk  Typed/Printed Name  33094  Registration No.  For Patent Owner Requester  Registration No.			
(2) Jens E. Sorensen v. Tecnica USA Corp. and Nordica USA Corp., Civil Action No. 06-cv-1941 (3) Previous litigation listed in Request  WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.  July 30, 2007  Authorized Signature  Arnold Turk  Typed/Printed Name  33094  Registration No. □ For Patent Owner Requester □ For Third Party Requester □ For Third Party Requester		Inc. et al. Civil Action No. 06 ev	1570
(3) Previous litigation listed in Request  WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.    July 30, 2007   Date			
WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.    July 30, 2007   Date		uid Nordica OSA Corp., Civii Acti	011 NO. 00-CV-1941
included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.    July 30, 2007   Date		nublic Credit card information	should not be
July 30, 2007         Date         Arnold Turk       33094       □ For Patent Owner Requester         Typed/Printed Name       Registration No.       ☑ For Third Party Requester			
Arnold Turk       33094       □ For Patent Owner Requester         Typed/Printed Name       Registration No.       ☑ For Third Party Requester			
Arnold Turk 33094 □ For Patent Owner Requester Typed/Printed Name Registration No. ☑ For Third Party Requester			
Typed/Printed Name Registration No.   For Third Party Requester	Authorized Signature	Date	
Typed/Printed Name Registration No.   For Third Party Requester	Arnold Turk	33094 □ For Pate	ent Owner Requester
Page 2 of 21	Typed/Printed Name		
	Mana 1	of 21	

Attorney Docket No. X32441 Attorney Docket No. SOR-BD-184 Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 4,935,184

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor:	Jens Ole Sorensen	)
		)
Patent No.:	4,935,184	)
I D.	I 10 1000	)
Issue Date:	June 19, 1990	)
Filing Date:	July 27, 1989	)
Filling Date.	July 27, 1989	) \
Title:	Stabilized Injection Molding	)
	When Using A Common	)
	Mold Part With Separate	)
	Complimentary Mold Parts	)

#### Mail Stop Ex Parte Reexam

Central Reexamination Unit **Commissioner for Patents** United States Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### REQUEST FOR EX PARTE REEXAMINATION PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 302 AND 37 § C.F.R. 1.510

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 302 and 37 C.F.R. § 1.510, the Third Party Requester hereby requests that reexamination of the above-identified patent be ordered by the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office.

#### I. **CLAIMS FOR REEXAMINATION**

Reexamination of claims 1, 2, 4 and 6-10 of U.S. Patent No. 4,935,184 ("the '184 patent") is requested and believed to be in order. The '184 patent is not expired and is still enforceable. A copy of the '184 patent is attached hereto as Appendix A as required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.510(b)(4).

Attorney Docket No. SOR-BD-184 Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 4,935,184

#### II. SUBSTANTIAL NEW QUESTIONS OF PATENTABILITY

Substantial new questions of patentability are raised based upon the following patents and printed publications:

48.5	Pat	ents and Published Patent Ap	plications	
Reference	Country	Applicant or Assignee	Issue Date	Statutory
Number		-		Basis
2,863,241	U.S.	Gits	12/09/58	102(b)
3,178,497	U.S.	Moscicki	04/13/65	102(b), 103(a)
4,422,995	U.S.	Schad	12/27/83	103(a)
4,440,820	U.S.	Shiho et al.	04/03/84	102(b), 103(a)
1 850 999	Germany	Echterholter	05/03/62	102(b), 103(a)
	(DE)		(published)	
2 004 494	U.K.	SEIMA	04/04/79	102(b), 103(a)
	(GB)		(published)	
60-119520	Japan	Toyota Motor	08/13/85	102(b), 103(a)
	(JP)		(published)	
		Printed Publications		
Source		Title	Publication	Statutory Basis
			Date	-
Modern Plasti	cs New Vigor	For Two-Shot Molding With	05/68	102(b), 103(a)
	Automatio	n Versatility Ingenuity		

A copy of each reference that has a bearing on the patentability of the claims of the '184 patent (including English translations thereof as appropriate) is enclosed in Appendix B herewith in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.510(b)(3). The cover page of Appendix B lists the identified references in a PTO/SB/08 form.

With the exception of the Schad reference, none of the other identified references were cited by either the applicant or the Examiner during prosecution of the application that issued as the '184 patent. These newly cited references are more relevant than the art cited and considered during prosecution of the '184 patent.

PTO/SB/08a (05-07)
Approved for use through 09/30/2007. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Application Number	
Filing Date	
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE First Named Inventor	
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT ( Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)	
Examiner Name	
Attorney Docket Number REQUEST FOR REEXAMINATION	

					U.S.I	PATENTS			
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Patent Number	Kind Code <sup>1</sup>	Issue D	ate	Name of Pate of cited Docu	entee or Applicant ment	Relev	s,Columns,Lines where vant Passages or Relevant es Appear
	1	2863241		1958-12	2-09	Gits		all	
	2	3178497		1965-04	<b>L</b> 13	Moscicki		all	
	3	4422995		1983-12	2-27	Schad		all	
	4	4440820		1984-04	1-03	Shiho		all	
If you wish	n to ac	dd additional U.S. Pater	nt citatio	n inform	ation pl	ease click the	Add button.		
			U.S.P	ATENT	APPLIC	CATION PUBL	ICATIONS		
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Publication Number	Kind Code <sup>1</sup>	Publica Date	blication Name of Patentee or Applicant te of cited Document		Relev	s,Columns,Lines where ant Passages or Relevant es Appear	
	1								
If you wish	to ac	dd additional U.S. Publi		<u> </u>				d butto	n.
				FOREIG	N PAT	ENT DOCUM	ENTS		
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Foreign Document Number <sup>3</sup>	Country Code <sup>2</sup>		Kind Code4	Publication Date	Name of Patentee Applicant of cited Document		Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear

					ation N	umber				
INFOR	. A A A T	TION DISCLO	CLIDE	Filing	Date					
		TION DISCLOS NT BY APPLIC		First N	lamed l	Inventor				
		INT DT APPLIC ission under 37 CF		Art Un	nit					
(1100101	Jub			Exami	iner Na	me				
				Attorn	ey Doc	ket Numbe	er	REQUEST FOR RI	EEXAMINATION	
	1	1 850 999	DE			1962-05-0	3	Echterholter	all	$\square$
	2	2 004 494	GB			1979-04-0	)4	SEIMA	all	Z
	3	60-119520	JP			1985-08-1	3	Toyota Motor	all	<b>Z</b>
If you wis	n to ac	dd additional Foreign	Patent Do	cument	citation	informatio	n ple	ase click the Add bu	utton	
			NON	I-PATEN	NT LITE	RATURE	DOC	UMENTS		
Examiner Initials*	Cite No		ournal, seria	al, sympe	osium,	catalog, et		ne article (when app ate, pages(s), volum	propriate), title of the item ne-issue number(s),	T5
	1	VAL WRIGHT, New 1968, pages 79-83,			Nolding V	Vith Automa	ation .	Versatility Inge	nuity, Modern Plastics, May	
If you wisl	h to ac	dd additional non-pat	tent literatu	re docur	nent cit	ation inform	natio	n please click the A	dd button	
				EX	AMINE	R SIGNAT	URE			
Examiner	Signa	iture						Date Considere	d	
		itial if reference cons conformance and no							609. Draw line through a tion to applicant.	

<sup>1</sup> See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at <a href="https://www.USPTO.GOV">www.USPTO.GOV</a> or MPEP 901.04. <sup>2</sup> Enter office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). <sup>3</sup> For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. <sup>4</sup> Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. <sup>5</sup> Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.

**EXHIBIT B** 

Document 11-3

Filed 04/04/2008

Page 4 2/06/12/2009-06)

Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control

	Substitute f	or form 144	9/PTO		Complete if Known				
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT				Reexamination Control Number	Unassigned				
				Patent Number	4,935,184				
Date Submitted: December 21, 2007		First Named Inventor	Jens O. Sorensen						
Sheet	1	of	2	Attorney Docket Number	065640-0260				

	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS							
Examiner Cite Document Number	Document Number	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant				
Initials*	1	MM-DD-YYYY	Cited Document	Passages or Relevant Figures Appear				
	A1	4,935,184	06/19/1990	SORENSEN				
	A2	4,422,995	12/27/1983	SCHAD				
	A3	4,508,676	04/02/1985	SORENSEN				
	A4	3,375,554	04/02/1968	BLUMER				
<u>-</u> "								

ILS Patent Application Pages, Colum	
Examiner Initials*  U.S. Patent Application Document Oite No.1  Serial Number-Kind Code² (if known)  Examiner Initials*  U.S. Patent Application Document Oited Document Oi	levant Relevant

			FOREIGN PATENT	DOCUMENTS		1
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	Foreign Patent Document Country Code <sup>3</sup> Number <sup>4</sup> Kind Code <sup>5</sup> (if known)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Documents	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T <sup>6</sup>
	A5	JP 59-199227	11/12/1984	IDEMITSU SEKIYU KAGAKU KK		Tr.
	A6	JP 60-154022	08/13/1985	FUJITSU, LTD.		Tr.
	A7	JP 58-82401	05/18/1983	NISSAN MOTOR CO., LTD.		Tr.
··	A8	JP S52-51449	04/25/1977	KABUSHIKI KAISHA YOSHINO KOGYOSHO		Tr.

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.) date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T <sup>6</sup>
	A9	SUMITOMO HEAVY INDUSTRIES, LTD., Promat 100-100/100 Sumitomo-Netstal Dual Material Injection Molding Machine	Tr.

Examiner Date Signature Considered	

\*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. 1 Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. 3 Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). 4 For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. 5 Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. 6 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

#### Case 3:08-cv-00136-BTM-CAB

of 2

Document 11-3

Filed 04/04/2008

Page 13 of 29 PTO/SB/08 (09-06)

Approved for use through 03/31/2007, OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control

065640-0260

Sheet

2

Substitute for form 1449/PTO	Complete if Known		
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT	Reexamination Control Number	Unassigned	
Date Submitted: December 21, 2007	Patent Number	4,935,184	
Date Caprilled, December 21, 2001	First Named Inventor	Jens O. Sorensen	

Attorney Docket Number

	NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS					
Examiner !nitials*	Cite No.1	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.) date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	Т <sup>6</sup>			
	A10	WRIGHT, "New Vigor for Two-Shot Molding with Automation," <i>Modern Plastics</i> , Vol. 45, No. 9, May 1968, pp. 78 – 83.				
	A11	Plaintiff's Amended Preliminary Claim Constructions and Extrinsic Evidence, SORENSEN v. THE BLACK & DECKER CORPORATION ET AL., U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California, Case No. 06-cv-1572 BTM (CAB).				
	A12	SORENSEN v. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COM'N., 427 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2005)				
211111	A13	Deposition of Paul P. Brown, December 19, 2006, SORENSEN v. THE BLACK & DECKER CORPORATION ET AL., U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California, Case No. 06-cv-1572 BTM (CAB).				
	A14	Plaintiffs' Local Civil Rule 56.1 Statement of Material Facts in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity Based on Prior Art, U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey Newark Vicinage, CIV. No. 03-1763(HAA).				

Examiner Signature	Date Considered	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

<sup>\*</sup>EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. 1 Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. 3 Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). 4 For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. 5 Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. 6 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor:	Jens Ole Sorensen	)
Patent No.:	4,935,184	<u>)</u>
Issue Date:	June 19, 1990	) )
Filing Date:	July 27, 1989	) )
Title:	Stabilized Injection Molding When Using A Common Mold Part With Separate Complimentary Mold Parts	) ) )

Mail Stop Ex Parte Reexam

Central Reexamination Unit Commissioner for Patents United States Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

### REQUEST FOR EX PARTE REEXAMINATION PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 302 AND 37 C.F.R. § 1.510

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 302 and 37 C.F.R. § 1.510, the Third Party Requester hereby requests that reexamination of the above-identified patent be ordered by the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office.

#### **CLAIMS FOR REEXAMINATION** I.

Reexamination of claims 1, 2, 4 and 6-10 of U.S. Patent No. 4,935,184 ("the '184 patent") is requested and believed to be in order. The '184 patent is not expired and is still in force. A copy of the '184 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A as required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.510(b)(4).

Attorney Docket No 065640/0260 Request for Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 4,935,184

#### II. SUBSTANTIAL NEW QUESTIONS OF PATENTABILITY

Substantial new questions of patentability are raised by the following patents and printed publications:

		Paten	ts and Published Patent	Applicat	tions	-
Reference No.	Country		Applicant or Assignee		Publication Date	Statutory Basis
S59-199227	Japan	-	Idemitsu Sekiyu Kagaku	K.K.	11/12/84	102(b), 103(a)
60-154022	Japan		Fujitsu, Ltd.		08/13/85	102(b), 103(a)
4,422,995	United S	tates	Robert D. Schad		12/27/83	102(b), 103(a)
58-82401	Japan		Nissan Motor Co., Ltd.		05/18/83	102(b), 103(a)
4,508,676	United States		Jens O. Sorensen		04/02/85	102(b), 103(a)
3,375,554	United States		Armin Blumer		04/02/68	102(b), 103(a)
S52-51449	Japan		Kabushiki Kaisha Yoshino Kogyosho		04/25/77	102(b), 103(a)
			Printed Publication	ıs		
Source Title		Title	Public	cation Date	Statutory Basis	
Sumitomo Heavy Indus., Pron Ltd.		nat 100-100/100	Admitted prior art		102(b),103(a)	
Mole		Vigor For Two-Shot ding With Automation atility Ingenuity	May 1968		102(b),103(a)	

A copy of each reference relied upon in this reexamination request (including any English translations) is enclosed in Exhibit B. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 1.510(b)(3). The identified references are listed in a PTO/SB/08 form, attached to this request. Except for Schad '995, Promat 100 and Sorensen '676 (all used only for secondary teachings), none of these references were cited during the original prosecution of the '184 patent. These newly cited references (or new combinations involving Schad '995, Promat 100 and Sorensen '676) are believed to be more relevant than the art cited and considered during original prosecution and, thus, raise substantial new questions of patentability. As discussed herein, the identified references anticipate or render obvious one or

# **EXHIBIT C**

Document 11-3

Case 3:08-cv-00136-BTM-CAB

28

subsidiaries, if any, are added to the litigation.

Page 17 of 29

Filed 04/04/2008

-19 

Plaintiff's preliminary infringement contentions pursuant to Patent L.R. 3.1 identify only claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 4,935,184 ("the '184 patent") as being asserted against Black & Decker. If additional claims are asserted, Black & Decker reserves the right to supplement its invalidity contentions to address all later asserted claims.

Black & Decker contends that Plaintiff's own claim construction contentions (as set forth, for example, in Plaintiff's Memorandum in Support of Summary Determination of Infringement, Doc. #33) render claim 1 invalid over the prior art. Black & Decker does not agree with the Plaintiff's claim construction (in fact, Black & Decker contends that many of the terms are incapable of reasonable construction as described in Section D. below) but has applied it here so that the focus of the parties' dispute is on the technical substance of the prior art and not on collateral claim construction issues. Black & Decker will set forth its complete claim construction position in the time and manner required under Patent L.R. 4.1.

#### A. The Identity of Prior Art

Black & Decker identifies the following categories of prior art:

#### **Prior Art Patents**

Patent Number	Country of Origin	<u>Date of Issue</u>
2,510,091	U.S.	June 6, 1950
2,863,241	U.S.	December 9, 1958
3,164,864	U.S.	January 12, 1965
3,178,497	U.S.	April 13, 1965
3,466,700	U.S.	September 16, 1969
3,807,920	U.S.	April 30, 1974
4,242,391	U.S.	December 30, 1980
4,422,995	U.S.	December 27, 1983
4,440,820	U.S.	April 3, 1984

Case 3:08-cv-00136-BTM-CAB	Document 11-3	Filed 04/04/2008	Page 19 of 29
----------------------------	---------------	------------------	---------------

Patent Number	Country of Origin	Date of Issue
4,459,256	U.S.	July 10, 1984
4,495,125	U.S.	January 22, 1985
4,585,686	U.S.	April 29, 1986
4,676,941	U.S.	June 30, 1987
4,743,422	U.S.	May 10, 1988
60-119520 (App. No. 59-7042)	Japan	August 13, 1985 (Pub. Date)
61-47223 (App. No. 59-169665)	Japan	March 7, 1986 (Pub. Date)
62- 279911	Japan	December 4, 1987 (Pub. Date)
55-071541 (App. No. 53-144859)	Japan	May 29, 1980 (Pub. Date)
App. No. GB 2004 494 A	UK	April 4, 1979 (Pub. Date)
Pub. No. WO 87/012329	PCT/Priority U.S.	March 12, 1987 (Pub. Date)

### **Prior Art Publications**

<u>Title</u>	Date of Publication	<u>Author</u>	Publisher
Multi-Color Injection Moulding Machines for the Processing of Thermoplastic Materials	1983	Reiner Jensen	Krauss-Maffei AG
Promat 100-100/100 by Nestal		Nestal	Nestal
New Vigor for Two-Shot Molding with Automation Versatility Ingenuity	May 1968	Val Wright	Modern Plastics

 $\sim_{11}$ 

· 9

-11 

#### Prior Art Under 35 U.S.C. §102(b)

Description of Item	Action Date	First Party	Second Party
Parking Brake Grip	9/10/82 to 7/2/86	Phillips Plastics Corp.	Atwood Automotive
Automotive pushbuttons	6/30/81 to 3/17/86	Phillips Plastics Corp.	Ford Motor Co.
Knob Infinite Switch	1/22/87 to 2/5/87	Phillips Plastics Corp.	Jenn-Air Corp.
Button Release Assembly	11/14/84 to 2/5/87	Phillips Plastics Corp.	Eastman Kodak
S Series Camera Body	6/14/86 to 2/5/87	Phillips Plastics Corp.	Eastman Kodak
Two Shot Knob	1/27/86 to 9/26/86	Phillips Plastics Corp.	TRW
Set-Coast Switch, Part No. B9207-C	8/8/85 to 10/85	Phillips Plastics Corp.	Methode Electronics
GM Part No. 16508729	12/85 to 2/5/87	Redoe Molding	General Motors
GM Part No. 16508885 - 886	12/85 to 2/5/87	Hallmark Technologies	General Motors
BMW 528i Part No. 63 21 1 361 603	1979	Krauss-Maffei AG	BMW

# B. Whether each item of prior art anticipates each asserted claim or renders it obvious.

Black & Decker contends that the following references anticipate claim 1 of the '184 patent: see Section C, Table 1.

Black & Decker contends that the following references in combination render obvious claim 1 of the '184 patent: see Section C, Table 2, and Table 3 Figures A, D, F and G.

# **EXHIBIT D**

1	J. Christopher Jaczko (149317)			
2	Allison H. Goddard (211098) JACZKO GODDARD LLP			
3	4401 Eastgate Mall   San Diego, California 92121			
4	Phone: (858) 550-6150 Fax: (858) 225-3500			
5	Raymond P. Niro, Jr. ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )			
6	Dina M. Hayes ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) Gregory P. Casimer ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) NIRO, SCAVONE, HALLER & NIRO			
7	181 West Madison, Suite 4600 Chicago, Illinois 60602-4515			
8	Phone: (312) 236-0733 Fax: (312) 236-3137			
9	Attorneys for Defendant			
10	The Black & Decker Corporation			
11	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT		
12	FOR THE SOUTHERN DIS	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13				
14	JENS ERIK SORENSEN, as Trustee of SORENSEN RESEARCH AND	Case No. 06cv1572 BTM (CAB)		
15	DEVELOPMENT TRUST,	DEFENDANT'S AMENDED PRELIMINARY INVALIDITY		
16	Plaintiff,	CONTENTIONS PURSUANT TO PATENT L.R. 3.3		
17	VS.			
18	THE BLACK & DECKER CORPORATION,			
19	Defendant.			
20	Defendant The Black & Decker Corpora	ation ("Black & Decker") makes the following		
21	supplemental disclosure of its Preliminary Inval	idity Contentions as required under Patent L.R.		
22	3.3. Defendant states that this is the statement of The Black & Decker Corporation and not the			
23	statement of any other defendant added to this action by the court's ruling on Plaintiff's motion			
24	to join additional parties.			
25	Plaintiff's preliminary infringement conte	entions pursuant to Patent L.R. 3.1 identify only		
26 27	claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 4,935,184 ("the '18	84 patent") as being asserted against Black &		
<i>-</i> 1	Decker. If additional claims are asserted, Black	& Decker reserves the right to supplement its		

invalidity contentions to address all later asserted claims.

28

Black & Decker contends that Plaintiff's own claim construction contentions (as set forth, for example, in Plaintiff's Memorandum in Support of Summary Determination of Infringement, Doc. #33) render claim 1 invalid over the prior art. Black & Decker does not agree with the Plaintiff's claim construction (in fact, Black & Decker contends that many of the terms are incapable of reasonable construction as described in Section D. below) but has applied it here so that the focus of the parties' dispute is on the technical substance of the prior art and not on collateral claim construction issues. Black & Decker will set forth its complete claim construction position in the time and manner required under Patent L.R. 4.1.

#### A. The Identity of Prior Art

Black & Decker identifies the following categories of prior art:

#### **Prior Art Patents**

<u>Patent Number</u>	Country of Origin	Date of Issue
2,510,091	U.S.	June 6, 1950
2,863,241	U.S.	December 9, 1958
3,164,864	U.S.	January 12, 1965
3,178,497	U.S.	April 13, 1965
3,466,700	U.S.	September 16, 1969
3,807,920	U.S.	April 30, 1974
4,242,391	U.S.	December 30, 1980
4,422,995	U.S.	December 27, 1983
4,440,820	U.S.	April 3, 1984
4,459,256	U.S.	July 10, 1984
4,495,125	U.S.	January 22, 1985
4,585,686	U.S.	April 29, 1986

	l	Case 3:08-cv-00136-BTM-CAB	Document 11-3	Filed 04/04/2008	Page 24 of 29
--	---	----------------------------	---------------	------------------	---------------

<u>Patent Number</u>	Country of Origin	Date of Issue
4,676,941	U.S.	June 30, 1987
4,743,422	U.S.	May 10, 1988
60-119520 (App. No. 59-7042)	Japan	August 13, 1985 (Pub. Date)
61-47223 (App. No. 59-169665)	Japan	March 7, 1986 (Pub. Date)
62- 279911	Japan	December 4, 1987 (Pub. Date)
55-071541 (App. No. 53-144859)	Japan	May 29, 1980 (Pub. Date)
App. No. GB 2004 494 A	UK	April 4, 1979 (Pub. Date)
Pub. No. WO 87/012329	PCT/Priority U.S.	March 12, 1987 (Pub. Date)
Pub. No. 1 850 999	Germany	May 3, 1962 (Pub. Date)

### **Prior Art Publications**

<u>Title</u>	Date of	<u>Author</u>	<u>Publisher</u>
	<u>Publication</u>		
Multi-Color Injection Moulding	1983	Reiner Jensen	Krauss-Maffei AG
Machines for the Processing of			
Thermoplastic Materials			
Promat 100-100/100 by Nestal		Nestal	Nestal
New Vigor for Two-Shot	May 1968	Val Wright	Modern Plastics
Molding with Automation			
Versatility Ingenuity			

### Prior Art Under 35 U.S.C. §102(b)

<u>Description of Item</u> <u>Action Date</u> <u>First Party</u>	Second Party
--	--------------

Case No. 06cv1572 BTM (CAB)

Phillips Plastics Corp.

Redoe Molding

Hallmark

Technologies

Krauss-Maffei AG

**Atwood Automotive** 

Ford Motor Co.

Jenn-Air Corp.

Eastman Kodak

Eastman Kodak

TRW

Methode

**BMW** 

Electronics

**General Motors** 

General Motors

9/10/82 to 7/2/86

6/30/81 to 3/17/86

1/22/87 to 2/5/87

11/14/84 to 2/5/87

6/14/86 to 2/5/87

1/27/86 to 9/26/86

8/8/85 to 10/85

12/85 to 2/5/87

12/85 to 2/5/87

1979

1	Parking Brake Grip
2	Automotive
3	pushbuttons
4	Knob Infinite Switch
5	Button Release Assembly
6	S Series Camera Body
7	Two Shot Knob
8	Set-Coast Switch, Part
9	No. B9207-C
10	GM Part No. 16508729
11	GM Part No. 16508885 - 886
12	BMW 528i Part No.
13	63 21 1 361 603
14	
15	B. Whether obvious.
16	
17	Black & Decker

#### В. Whether each item of prior art anticipates each asserted claim or renders it obvious.

Black & Decker contends that the following references anticipate claim 1 of the '184 patent: see Section C, Table 1.

Black & Decker contends that the following references in combination render obvious claim 1 of the '184 patent: see Section C, Table 2, and Table 3 Figures A, D, F and G.

21

18

19

20

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

# **EXHIBIT E**

### **Notice of Changes in Requirement** for a Substantial New Question of Patentability for a Second or Subsequent Request for Reexamination While an Earlier Filed Reexamination is Pending

A. Summary: The United States Patent and Trademark Office (Office) revised section 2240 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) in May of 2004 to set forth a new policy when a second or subsequent request for reexamination is filed while an "earlier filed reexamination" is **pending**, and the second or subsequent request cites only prior art (hereinafter "old art") which raised a substantial new question of patentability (SNQ) in the pending reexamination proceeding. See MPEP § 2240 (8th ed. 2001)(Rev. 2, May 2004). Under the new policy, the second or subsequent request for reexamination will be ordered only if that old prior art raises a substantial new question of patentability which is **different** than that raised in the pending reexamination proceeding. If the old prior art cited (in the second or subsequent request) raises only the same issues that were raised to initiate the pending reexamination proceeding, the second or subsequent request will be denied.

It is to be noted that reliance on prior art cited in the pending reexamination (old art) does not preclude the existence of a SNQ that is based exclusively on that old art. Determinations on whether a SNQ exists in such an instance shall be based upon a fact-specific inquiry done on a case-by-case basis. For example, a SNQ may be based solely on old art where the old art is being presented/viewed in a new light, or in a different way, as compared with its use in the earlier concluded examination(s), in view of a material new argument or interpretation presented in the request. The presentation/viewing of old art in a new light, or in a different way, is discussed in Ex parte Chicago Rawhide, 223 USPQ 351 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1984).

**B. Background:** A request for ex parte reexamination of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 302, and a request for interpartes reexamination of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 311, must raise a substantial new question of patentability (SNQ) in order for a reexamination of the patent to be initiated. More than one reexamination request may be filed for the same patent, and a second or subsequent reexamination request for reexamination of a patent, where a first reexamination proceeding is pending, has historically been granted based on the **same** prior art that raised the SNO in a pending first reexamination proceeding.

It has been the Office's experience, however, that both patent owners and third party requesters have used a second or subsequent reexamination request (based on the same substantial new question of patentability initially raised or existing in the pending reexamination proceeding) to prolong the reexamination proceeding, and in some instances, to turn it essentially into an inter partes proceeding. These actions by patent owners and third party requesters have resulted in multiple reexaminations taking years to conclude, thus making it extremely difficult for the Office to conclude reexamination proceedings with "special dispatch" as required by statute (35 U.S.C. 305 for ex parte reexamination, 35 U.S.C. 314 inter partes reexamination). For example, under the prior practice, a patent owner whose claims are rejected in a pending

reexamination proceeding could repeatedly file multiple ex parte reexamination requests based on the same substantial new question of patentability raised, or existing, in the pending reexamination proceeding. By doing so, the patent owner could keep the reexamination proceeding pending indefinitely, to delay the issue of a reexamination certificate canceling the claims of the patent being reexamined. Additionally, a third party requester could file a second or subsequent reexamination request, while a first reexamination proceeding is pending, based on the same substantial new question of patentability raised, or existing, in the first reexamination proceeding, in order to address any responses to Office actions made by the patent owner. This use of a second or subsequent reexamination request has permitted third party requesters to, in effect, obtain an inter partes type of reexamination process in an ex parte reexamination proceeding.

Moreover, concerns regarding lengthy ex parte reexamination pendency resulting from multiple reexamination request filings were raised by witnesses at the Office's Round Table on the Equities of Inter Partes Reexamination Proceedings held February 17, 2004.

C. Implementation of New Policy: Responsive to these concerns, the Office revised its policy to be as is now set forth in the current (May 2004) revision of MPEP § 2240, that is: the SNQ for a second or subsequent request for reexamination must be new and different than any SNQ that was raised, or existed, during any prior pending or concluded reexamination proceeding for the patent. This revised policy is consistent with the statutory mandate of special dispatch and the intent of the ex parte reexamination statute (an ex parte reexamination proceeding is not an inter partes type of reexamination process). Further, 35 U.S.C. 303(a) states that "[w]ithin three months following the filing of a request for reexamination under the provisions of section 302 of this title, the Director will determine whether a substantial new question of patentability affecting any claim of the patent concerned is raised by the request." It is reasonable to interpret this provision as requiring each request for reexamination to raise its own substantial new question of patentability as compared not only to the original prosecution (in the application for the patent) and any earlier, concluded reexamination proceedings, but to pending reexamination proceedings as well. To accompany the revision of MPEP § 2240, MPEP § 2640, which was newly added to the MPEP in May of 2004 to address inter partes reexamination proceedings, was drafted to implement this revision of policy for inter partes reexamination proceedings.

**D.** Transition Procedure: It is noted that, as a consequence of the changes made to MPEP § 2240, a patent owner will now be prevented from obtaining entry of an amendment and/or evidence not entered after final rejection in an ex parte reexamination proceeding by filing another request for reexamination based on the same substantial question of patentability raised/existing in the pending reexamination proceeding. In order to provide relief to the patent owner, the Office plans to propose a revision to the patent rules to provide for the filing of a request for continued reexamination (RCR) which would be similar to the request for continued examination (RCE) practice for applications. If the RCR practice is implemented, the patent owner, by filing an RCR, could obtain continued prosecution on the merits in the reexamination proceeding, including entry of an amendment and/or evidence that was denied entry after a final rejection in an ex parte reexamination proceeding or after an action closing prosecution in an inter partes reexamination proceeding.

Until these new rules become effective, however, patent owners are advised to use either: (1) the petition procedure under 37 CFR § 1.181 to seek review of a denial of entry of an amendment submitted after final rejection in an ex parte reexamination proceeding or after an action closing prosecution in an inter partes reexamination proceeding; or (2) the petition procedure under 37 CFR § 1.182 to seek relief that is not currently provided by an existing rule, but that would be provided when a new request for continued reexamination (RCR) practice is in effect.

**E. Inquiries:** Inquiries regarding this matter may be directed to Kenneth M. Schor, Senior Legal Advisor, Office of Patent Legal Administration at telephone: (571) 272-7710.

Date: <u>2/2/05</u> Signed: /S/

JOSEPH J. ROLLA Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy